

## IRB Protocol Review Standards

research design is scientifically sound & will not unnecessarily expose subjects to risk.

(a) Is the hypothesis clear? Is it clearly stated?

---

---

(b) Is the study design appropriate to prove the hypothesis?

---

---

(c) Will the research contribute to generalizable knowledge and is it worth exposing subjects to risk?

---

---

YES  NO

2. Risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits, if any, to subjects, and

to the community.

---

---

---

(c) Is there prospect of direct benefit to subjects? (See benefit assessment guide on the next page.)

---

---

---

YES  NO

(b) Would use of a data & safety monitoring board or other research oversight process enhance subject safety?

---

---

**\_\_YES \_\_NO**

4. Subject selection is equitable.

*(a) Who is to be enrolled? Men? Women? Ethnic minorities? Children (rationale for inclusion/exclusion addressed)? Seriously-ill persons? Healthy volunteers?*

---

---

---

*(b) Are these subjects appropriate for the protocol?*

---

---

---

**\_\_YES \_\_NO**

*If ionizing radiation is used in this protocol is it medically indicated or for research use only?*

1. Ionizing radiation.

---

---

---

**\_\_YES \_\_NO**

*Is this domestic/international collaborative research? If so, are SPAs or other assurances required for the sites involved?*

2. Collaborative research.

---

---

---

**\_\_YES \_\_NO**

*Is an IND or IDE involved in this protocol?*

3. FDA-regulated research

---

---

---

**\_\_YES \_\_NO**

*Other comments/questions/concerns?*

4. Other

---

---

---

---

---